arXiv:1704.06607v1 [math.AT] 21 Apr 2017

Manifolds with odd Euler characteristic and higher orientability

Renee S. Hoekzema*

April 24, 2017

Abstract

It is well-known that odd-dimensional manifolds have Euler characteristic zero and orientable manifolds have an even Euler characteristic unless the dimension is a multiple of 4. We here prove a generalisation of these statements: a k-orientable manifold (or more generally Poincaré complex) has even Euler characteristic unless the dimension is a multiple of 2^{k+1}, where we call a manifold k-orientable if the i^{th} Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes for all $i < 2^k$ ($k \geq 0$). This theorem is strict for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, but whether there exist 4-orientable manifolds with an odd Euler characteristic is an open question.

1 Introduction

Manifolds are central objects of study in geometry and topology. A basic property of a manifold is whether it is *orientable*. If an n-dimensional manifold M is closed and connected, then M is orientable if and only if its n^{th} homology group $H_n(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$. An orientation on M is a choice of a generator for this group. A manifold is orientable if and only if the first Stiefel-Whitney class w_1 of the tangent bundle of M vanishes. This characteristic class is the obstruction to lifting the classifying map $\tau : M \to BO(n)$ of the tangent bundle to $BSO(n)$, which is the double cover of $BO(n)$.

A more restrictive property of a manifold, extending orientability, is *spinability*. We call a manifold *spinable* if τ admits a lift to $BSpin(n)$, the 3-connected cover of $BO(n)$. For an orientable manifold the obstruction for this lift is given by the second Stiefel-Whitney class w_2. Hence a manifold is spinable precisely if $w_1 = w_2 = 0$.

Orientability and spinability can be generalised to a sequence of higher orientability conditions in different ways, of which we here discuss three. The first two are well-studied, whereas the third notion is new. The first generalisation of orientability is given by the property of being *k-parallelizable*. A manifold is k-parallelizable if the classifying map of the tangent bundle τ can be lifted to the k-connected cover of $BO(n)$. These connected covers form the Whitehead tower of $BO(n)$, of which each stage successively kills a higher homotopy group. By Bott periodicity, $BO = \lim_n BO(n)$ has a non-trivial homotopy group in

*Department of Mathematics, University of Oxford, hoekzema@maths.ox.ac.uk

dimensions $0, 1, 2, 4$ $(mod\, 8)$, and therefore a non-trivial stage of the Whitehead tower occurs in these dimensions. The first few non-trivial stages have a special name:

$$BO(n) \leftarrow BSO(n) \leftarrow BSpin(n) \leftarrow BString(n) \leftarrow B5brane(n) \leftarrow ...$$

For example, $BString(n)$ is the stage at which the fourth homotopy group is killed, and it is automatically 7-connected. If τ admits a lift to $BString(n)$, we call the manifold *stringable*, which corresponds to 7-parallelizable. For a spinable manifold, this requires τ to be trivial on the fourth homotopy group, which is a strictly stronger condition than requiring that $w_4 = 0$. The obstruction class to finding a string structure on a spin manifold is $\frac{p_1}{2} \in H^4(M; \mathbb{Z})$. If a manifold is k-parallizable for $k = n$, the dimension of the manifold, then the manifold is *parallelizable* or *frameable*.
A second notion of "higher" orientations arises from considering orientability with respect to different (co)homology theories (see for example [Rud98], chapter V). There are occasionally links between the notion of being k-parallelizable and being orientable for a certain cohomology theory. For example, a smooth manifold admits an orientation in real K-theory if and only if it is spinable ([ABS64]). If a manifold is stringable, then it admits an orientation for tmf, the universal elliptic cohomology theory ([AHR]).
We here define a third sequence of orientability conditions that can be viewed as a natural generalization of orientability and spinability:

Definition 1.1. We call a manifold k-orientable if $w_i = 0$ for $i < 2^k$.

A manifold is 1-orientable if and only if it is orientable. A manifold is 2-orientable if and only if it is spinable. If a manifold is stringable, then it is 3-orientable, but the converse is not true. A counterexample is given by the manifold $\mathbb{CP}^3$, all of whose Stiefel-Whitney classes vanish although it is not stringable ([DHH10]).

Orientability bears a nice relationship to a very classical invariant of topological spaces, the Euler characteristic χ. It is a simple consequence of Poincaré duality that manifolds with an odd dimension have vanishing χ. For orientable manifolds however, χ is furthermore even in dimensions that are not a multiple of 4. This pattern continues: spinable manifolds have an even χ in dimensions that are not a multiple of 8. This is implied by Ochanine's theorem on the divisibility of the signature of spin manifolds in dimension $8k + 4$ ([Och81]). The generalisation of these statements to higher k-orientability is the main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *A k-orientable manifold M ($k \geq 0$) has an even Euler characteristic $\chi(M)$ if its dimension is a not a multiple of 2^{k+1}.*

The proof is based on a relation within the Steenrod algebra derived from recursive application of the Adem relations. In particular the theorem states that 3-orientable and therefore stringable manifolds have an even Euler characteristic unless the dimension is a multiple of 16. One might wonder whether the theorem is strict: whether k-orientable manifolds with an odd Euler characteristic exist in all dimensions that are a multiple of 2^{k+1}. There are non-orientable manifolds with odd χ in every even dimension as $\chi(\mathbb{RP}^{2m}) = 1$. Similarly,

even-dimensional complex projective spaces $\mathbb{CP}^{2m}$, even-dimensional quaternion projective spaces $\mathbb{HP}^{2m}$, and powers of the octonion projective plane or Cayley plane $(\mathbb{OP}^2)^m$ are examples of manifolds with an odd Euler characteristic that are orientable and of dimension $4m$, spinable of dimension $8m$, and stringable of dimension $16m$ respectively. To the author's knowledge, it is an open question whether there exist 4-orientable manifolds with an odd Euler characteristic.

Notation Throughout we will be considering (co)homology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients, hence from here on we write $H^n(X)$ for $H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Steenrod Algebra

Steenrod squares are cohomology operations of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology. For proofs of the statements in this section see [SE62]. The following list of properties of Steenrod squares can be taken as an axiomatic definition:

1. $Sq^i : H^n(X) \to H^{n+i}(X)$ are cohomology operations, i.e. they are natural with respect to maps of spaces.
2. Sq^0 is the identity homomorphism.
3. If $i > |x|$, where $|x|$ is the degree of x, then $Sq^i(x) = 0$.
4. The Steenrod squares obey the *Cartan formula*:

$$Sq^k(x \cup y) = \sum_{i+j=k} Sq^i(x) \cup Sq^j(y) \tag{1}$$

Furthermore, from these axioms the following well-known properties can be derived:

Theorem 2.1. *The short exact sequence* $\mathbb{Z}/2 \to \mathbb{Z}/4 \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ *gives rise to a long exact sequence in cohomology with connection homomorphism* $\beta : H^n(X, \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{n+1}(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ *called the Bockstein homomorphism. This equals* Sq^1.

Theorem 2.2. *The Steenrod squares are stable, i.e. they commute with suspension:*

$$Sq^i(\sigma(x)) = \sigma Sq^i(x),$$

where $\sigma : H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{n+1}(\Sigma X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ $(n \geq 1)$ *is the suspension isomorphism.*

Theorem 2.3. *The Steenrod squares satisfy the* Adem relations*: for all* a *and* b *such that* $a < 2b$*, we have*

$$Sq^a Sq^b = \sum_{c=0}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{b-c-1}{a-2c} Sq^{a+b-c} Sq^c. \tag{2}$$

As a result of the Adem relations, certain composites of Steenrod squares always vanish, for example $Sq^{2n-1}Sq^n = 0$, as for $a = 2n-1$ and $b = n$ all binomials on the right hand side of the Adem relations vanish. Another consequence of the Adem relations is that individual squares can be decomposed into a sum of composites of smaller squares, unless they are of the form Sq^{2^i} for some i. The proof of this is analogous to the proof we shall give of Lemma 3.1. Steenrod squares, subject to the Adem relations, generate a graded Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{F}_2$ called the *Steenrod algebra* $\mathcal{A}$.
One often groups the Steenrod operations into the *total Steenrod square* acting on $\bigoplus_i H^i(X)$ given by

$$Sq = Sq^0 + Sq^1 + Sq^2 + ... \tag{3}$$

2.2 Stiefel-Whitney classes

Stiefel-Whitney classes are $\mathbb{Z}/2$ characteristic classes of vector bundles. See for example [May99], Chapter 23, for background to this section. An n-dimensional vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \to \xi \to M$ over a manifold M can be viewed as the pull-back of the universal bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \to \gamma_n \to BO(n)$ under a classifying map which we shall also denote ξ.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \xi & \longrightarrow & \gamma_n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M & \xrightarrow{\xi} & BO(n) \end{array}$$

The $\mathbb{Z}/2$ cohomology of $BO(n)$ is given by:

$$H^*(BO(n)) = \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, w_2, ..., w_n], \tag{4}$$

where w_i with $|w_i| = i$ are the universal Stiefel-Whitney classes. We can ask what the image of this cohomology is under the classifying map ξ.

Definition 2.4. Given a vector bundle ξ over a manifold M, the i-th Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i(\xi) \in H^i(M)$ is defined as

$$w_i(\xi) = \xi^*(w_i), \tag{5}$$

for $w_i \in H^*(BO)$ the universal i-th Stiefel-Whitney class.

By the Stiefel-Whitney classes of a manifold we mean the Stiefel-Whitney classes of its tangent bundle. For a smooth manifold the Stiefel-Whitney classes obey the Wu formula:

$$Sq^i(w_j) = \sum_{t=0}^{i} \binom{j+t-i-1}{t} w_{i-t} w_{j+t}. \tag{6}$$

Remark 2.5. In the case of orientable manifolds, the top Stiefel-Whitney class w_n is the modulo 2 reduction of an integral characteristic class called the *Euler class*. Evaluating the Euler class on the fundamental class of the manifold gives the Euler characteristic. This implies in particular that $w_n = 0$ if and only if the Euler characteristic is even.

2.3 Wu classes

Let M be a topological manifold with fundamental class $[M] \in H_n(M)$ (with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficients), and

$$\langle ., . \rangle : H^i(M) \times H_i(M) \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \tag{7}$$

be the pairing of cohomology and homology. As M is a manifold, it satisfies Poincaré duality for $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (co)homology, hence we have

$$Hom(H^{n-k}(M), \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H_{n-k}(M) \cong H^k(M), \tag{8}$$

where a cohomology class $y \in H^k(M)$ corresponds to the homomorphism $x \mapsto \langle y \cup x, [M] \rangle$. In particular, one element of $Hom(H^{n-k}(M), \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is given by $x \mapsto \langle Sq^k(x), [M] \rangle$. Under the above isomorphism, this corresponds to a degree k cohomology class v_k called the k-th Wu class, with

$$\langle v_k \cup x, [M] \rangle = \langle Sq^k(x), [M] \rangle, \tag{9}$$

for all $x \in H^{n-k}(M)$. We can define the total Wu class as the formal sum

$$v = 1 + v_1 + v_2 + ... + v_n \tag{10}$$

This allows us to replace equation (9) by

$$\langle v \cup x, [M] \rangle = \langle Sq(x), [M] \rangle, \tag{11}$$

where Sq is the total Steenrod square and $x \in H^*(M)$.
Because $Sq^i(x) = 0$ if $i > |x|$, an n-dimensional manifold only admits non-zero Steenrod operations for $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Hence a manifold has maximally $\frac{n}{2}$ non-zero Wu classes.

For a smooth manifold M of dimension n, the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the manifold can be determined from the Steenrod squares and Wu classes. Define the total Stiefel-Whitney class as the formal sum

$$w = 1 + w_1 + w_2 + ... + w_n. \tag{12}$$

The total Stiefel-Whitney class w is then determined by the total Steenrod square Sq and the total Wu class v via the relation (see for example [May99], Chapter 23):

$$w = Sq(v). \tag{13}$$

This formula can be used to define Stiefel-Whitney classes for topological manifolds.

3 k-orientability

A manifold is called k-orientable if $w_i = 0$ for $i < 2^k$. This definition is motivated by [DHH10], where it is noted the condition that $w_i = 0$ for $i < 2^k$ for a manifold M is equivalent to the condition that $H^*(M)$ is Poincaré dual with respect to the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_2(k-1)$ of the Steenrod algebra $\mathcal{A}_2$ spanned by $Sq^1, Sq^2, ..., Sq^{2^{k-1}}$.

The latter means that the actions of these squares are symmetrically distributed upon reflection in the middle dimension, where we should take every operation to its image under the canonical anti-homomorphism χ from $\mathcal{A}_2(k)$ to itself (see [DHH10] for a detailed explanation).
The following classical result is a consequence of the Wu formula as we shall show below.

Lemma 3.1. *The Stiefel-Whitney classes of a smooth manifold are generated by those of the form w_{2^i}.*

Lemma 3.1 implies that a k-orientable smooth manifold is $k+1$-orientable precisely if the class w_{2^k} vanishes. This motivates why in the definition of k-orientability, it is natural to let the number of vanishing Stiefel-Whitney classes double as we increase k by one. It also follows that in order for a smooth manifold to be k-orientable it is enough to require w_i to vanish for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$.

Corollary 3.2. *A smooth manifold is k-orientable if and only if $w_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$.*

To prove Lemma 3.1, we need the following lemma, which we will also use in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 3.3.

$$\binom{2^k m - 1}{b} \equiv 1 \pmod 2 \tag{14}$$

for all $0 \leq b \leq 2^{k-1}$ and $m, k \geq 1$.

Proof. By Lucas's theorem ([Luc78]), the value of a binomial $\binom{a}{b}$ modulo a prime p can be calculated by considering the base p expansions of a and b:

$$\binom{a}{b} \equiv \prod_i \binom{a_i}{b_i} \pmod p, \tag{15}$$

where a_i and b_i are the corresponding base p digits of a and b, and we use the convention that $\binom{a_i}{b_i} = 0$ if $a_i < b_i$.
Consider the case $p = 2$ with $a = 2^k m - 1$ and $b \leq 2^{k-1}$. Let $m = \sum_i m_i 2^i$ be the binary expansion of m, and i_0 the index of the lowest non-zero digit of m. Then

$$a = 2^k m - 1 = \sum_i m_i 2^{i+k} - 1 = \sum_{i > i_0} m_i 2^{i+k} + 2^{i_0+k} - 1, \tag{16}$$

hence the binary expansion of a ends in a sequence of 1's: $a_i = 1$ for $0 \leq i \leq i_0 + k - 1$. On the other hand, $b_i = 0$ for $i \geq k$. Hence every term in equation (15) is of the form $\binom{1}{0}$, $\binom{1}{1}$ or $\binom{0}{0}$, each of which equals 1 and hence $\binom{a}{b} \equiv 1 \pmod 2$. □

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We can rearrange the Wu formula (17) as follows:

$$\binom{j-1}{i} w_{j+i} = Sq^i(w_j) + \sum_{t=0}^{i-1} \binom{j+t-i-1}{t} w_{i-t} w_{j+t}. \tag{17}$$

Hence we can rewrite w_n in terms of Stiefel-Whitney classes of a lower degree precisely if we can split up n as $i + j$ with $i, j \geq 1$ in such a way that $\binom{j-1}{i}$

is non-zero modulo 2. If n is not a power of 2, then $n = 2^k + i$ for some $i < 2^k$, setting $j = 2^k$. Applying Lemma 3.3 for $m = 1$ and $b = i$, we see that $\binom{j-1}{i} \equiv 1 \ (mod\, 2)$.
If n is a power of 2, then $i + j - 1$ has only 1's in its binary expansion, hence every factor in the product given by equation (15) computing $\binom{j-1}{i}$ modulo 2 is of the form $\binom{1}{0} \equiv 1$ or $\binom{0}{1} \equiv 0$. Then the only choice of i for which $\binom{j-1}{i}$ modulo 2 is non-zero is then $i = 0$, in which case the Wu formula states $w_n = w_n$. □

The lemma below shows that we could also have used the Wu classes rather than the Stiefel-Whitney classes to define k-orientability.

Lemma 3.4. *A manifold is k-orientable if and only if $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$.*

Proof. It follows from by equation (13) that

$$w_i = \sum_{j+k=i} Sq^j(v_k). \tag{18}$$

From this it follows immediately that $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$ implies $w_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$. Furthermore, note that $w_1 = v_1$, $w_2 = Sq^1(v_1) + v_2$ et cetera, so if $v_i = 0$ for $i < n$, then $w_n = v_n$. It follows that $w_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$ implies $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$. □

When comparing k-orientability to k-parallelizability, one would naively expect that only 2^{k-1}-parallelizability would imply k-orientability of a manifold. However, by the classical work of Stong ([Sto63]), the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the n-connective covers of BO vanish exponentially with n, with the number of vanishing w_i doubling at each non-trivial stage (occurring in degrees 0, 1, 2 and 4 modulo 8). Indeed, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\phi(n)$ be the number of integers s with $1 \leq s \leq n$ such that $s \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \ (mod\, 8)$. If a manifold M is n-parallelizable for n is such that $\phi(n) \geq k$, then M is k-orientable.*

In other words, a lift of the classifying map of the tangent space of M to the k^{th} *non-trivial* stage in the Whitehead tower of $BO(n)$ precisely implies k-orientability.

In a similar way as one could consider lifts to a sequence of connected covers of $BO(n)$ to give a homotopy-theoretic definition of k-parallisability, one can build a sequence of covers of $BO(n)$ such that lifts give rise to a k-orientation:

$$BO(n) \leftarrow BSO(n) \leftarrow BSpin(n) \leftarrow BOr_3(n) \leftarrow BOr_4(n) \leftarrow ...$$

Here we formally define $BOr_k(n)$ up to homotopy by taking the homotopy fibre of the maps that classify the appropriate Stiefel-Whitney classes:

$$BOr_k(n) \rightarrow BOr_{k-1}(n) \xrightarrow{w_{2^{k-1}}} K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 2^{k-1}). \tag{19}$$

By Lemma 3.1 it suffices to kill only the Stiefel-Whitney classes of the form w_{2^i} for $i < k$ to classify k-orientable smooth manifolds. For $k \geq 3$, the long

exact sequence in homotopy corresponding to (19) reduces to the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \pi_{2^{k-1}}(BOr_k) \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{w_{2^{k-1}}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0, \tag{20}$$

hence $\pi_{2^{k-1}}(BOr_k) = \mathbb{Z}$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will now prove our main result that k-orientable manifolds have an even Euler characteristic as long as their dimension is different from 2^{k+1}. In order to do so, we first prove a technical result that describes a relation in the Steenrod Algebra, arising from repeated application of the Adem relations. It tells us how Steenrod squares decompose that are $k-1$ times even but not k times even.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $n = 2^k m + 2^{k-1}$ for some $m \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$. Then*

$$Sq^n = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}} Sq^i \alpha^{n-i}, \tag{21}$$

where α^{n-i} is a sum of composites of squares.

Proof. For $m = 0$, $n = 2^{k-1}$, thus the result follows.
Assume $m \geq 1$. For a and b with $a < 2b$, the Adem relations can be rearranged as follows:

$$\binom{b-1}{a} Sq^{a+b} = Sq^a Sq^b + \sum_{c=1}^{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \binom{b-c-1}{a-2c} Sq^{a+b-c} Sq^c. \tag{22}$$

Applying this to $a = 2^{k-1}$, $b = 2^k m$, with $n = a + b$, gives:

$$\binom{2^k m - 1}{2^{k-1}} Sq^n = Sq^{2^{k-1}} Sq^{2^k m} + \sum_{c=1}^{2^{k-2}} \binom{2^k m - c - 1}{2^{k-1} - 2c} Sq^{n-c} Sq^c. \tag{23}$$

By Lemma 3.3, the binomial $\binom{2^k m - 1}{2^{k-1}}$ is non-zero. Hence we have now expressed Sq^n as the sum of a term with a small square in front, $Sq^{2^{k-1}} Sq^{2^k m}$, and a remainder. Each term in the remainder contains Sq^{n-c} for some c, with $1 \leq c \leq 2^{k-2}$. Define $i = 2^{k-2} - c$ such that $Sq^{n-c} = Sq^{2^k m + i}$. We use strong induction on i to show that each of these squares can be written in the desired form, as a sum of composites in which each term has a square on the left of degree less than or equal to 2^{k-1}.
The base case is given by $i = 1$. Applying equation (22) for $a = 1$, $b = 2^k m$ gives:

$$\binom{2^k m - 1}{1} Sq^{2^k m + 1} = Sq^{2^k m + 1} = Sq^1 Sq^{2^k m}, \tag{24}$$

which is of the desired form.

Suppose that $Sq^{2^k m+i}$ can be written in the desired form for all $i < i_0$. We will show that $Sq^{2^k m+i_0}$ can also be written in the desired form. Applying (22) for $a = i_0$, $b = 2^k m$ gives:

$$\binom{2^k m - 1}{i_0} Sq^{2^k m+i_0} = Sq^{i_0} Sq^{2^k m} + \sum_{c=1}^{\lfloor i_0/2 \rfloor} \binom{2^k m - c - 1}{i_0 - 2c} Sq^{2^k m+i_0-c} Sq^c. \quad (25)$$

By Lemma 3.3, the binomial $\binom{2^k m-1}{i_0}$ is non-zero. The first term on the right hand side is of the right form, and by the hypothesis, each $Sq^{2^k m+i_0-c}$ can be written in the desired form. This concludes the induction.
Hence we observe that all terms in equation (23) are of the form $Sq^i \alpha'^{n-i}$ for some composite of squares α'^{n-i}. Collecting the terms with the same Sq^i in front and making use of the linearity of the squares renders the desired formula. □

We now reformulate Theorem 1.2 to an equivalent statement for $k \geq 1$, noting that k-orientability implies $k-1$-orientability. Note that the case $k = 0$ is a trivial consequence of Poincaré duality: odd-dimensional manifolds have zero, thus in particular even, Euler characteristic.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M^{2n} be k-orientable smooth manifold ($k \geq 1$) of dimension $2n = 2^{k+1}m + 2^k$, then $\chi(M)$ is even.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$ as a consequence of the k-orientability of M. Hence, by the definition of v_i, the operations

$$Sq^i : H^{2n-i}(M) \to H^{2n}(M)$$

vanish for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$. By Proposition 4.1, the operation

$$Sq^n : H^n(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

decomposes as a sum of composites, of which every term is zero. Hence Sq^n vanishes. As $w_{2n} = Sq^n(v_n)$ by equation (13), this implies $w_{2n} = 0$. As $w_1 = 0$, M is orientable and hence the Euler class is defined, and w_{2n} is its mod 2 reduction. Therefore the Euler characteristic is even by Remark 2.5. □

5 Extension to Poincaré complexes

Let X be an n-dimensional *Poincaré complex* (see for example [Ran02]) with fundamental class $\mu \in H_n(M)$ such that

$$- \cap \mu : H^*(X) \to H_{n-*}(X)$$

is an isomorphism. A topological manifold is a particular example of a Poincaré complex, as is any finite CW complex that is homotopy equivalent to a manifold. The existence of the Wu classes is a direct consequence of Poincaré duality and can therefore be defined for a Poincaré complex X in the usual way:

$$\langle v \cup x, \mu \rangle = \langle Sq(x), \mu \rangle, \quad (26)$$

where μ is the fundamental class of X, Sq is the total Steenrod square, v is the total Wu class and $x \in H^*(X)$.
The condition of k-orientability for manifolds can equivalently be phrased as the condition that $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$ by Lemma 3.4. Hence we can take this as the definition of k-orientability for a Poincaré complex. In order to avoid the use of the Euler class and the fact that w_{2n} is its mod 2 reduction, we will prove that the vanishing of Sq^n implies an even Euler characteristic directly with an alternative method. For this we will first show that a symplectic vector space over any field, in particular also $\mathbb{F}_2$, has even dimension. We call a vector space V over a field k *symplectic* if it is endowed with a bilinear form $A : V^n \times V^n \to k$ that is non-degenerate, antisymmetric and has vanishing diagonal. The last condition is superfluous if $char(k) \neq 2$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let V^n be an n-dimensional vector space over a field k and $A : V^n \times V^n \to k$ an antisymmetric bilinear form with vanishing diagonal, i.e.*

$$A(v, v) = 0 \qquad \forall v \in V^n. \tag{27}$$

If n is odd, then A is degenerate i.e. $\det A = 0$.

Proof. Consider the formula for the determinant:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}, \tag{28}$$

where S_n is the symmetric group on n letters and $a_{i,j}$ are the matrix elements of A in a given basis of V^n. As the diagonal of A expressed as a matrix in this basis vanishes, any permutation σ that leaves one or more indices unchanged does not contribute to the sum. Hence, we can restrict to the set of permutations Σ_n containing no cycles of length 1. Within this subset, an element is of order two (its own inverse) if and only if it consists of cycles of length two. Since n is odd, there us no such element. Hence Σ_n can be partitioned as $\Sigma_n^+ \sqcup \Sigma_n^-$ such that Σ_n^- consists of the inverses of the elements in Σ_n^+. The determinant formula then becomes:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_n^+} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)} + \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma^{-1}(i)}. \tag{29}$$

Note that $\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) = \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma^{-1})$. Furthermore, as A is antisymmetric and n odd, $\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma^{-1}(i)} = -\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{\sigma^{-1}(i),i} = -\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i,\sigma(i)}$, by rearranging the terms in the product. Hence the two products in equation (29) cancel and hence $det(A) = 0$. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let X^{2n} be a k-orientable Poincaré complex ($k \geq 1$) of dimension $2n = 2^{k+1}m + 2^k$, then $\chi(X)$ is even.*

Proof. Lemma 4.1 describes a relation within the Steenrod algebra and thus holds independently of X. As $v_i = 0$ for $i \leq 2^{k-1}$, it follows that

$$Sq^n : H^n(X) \to H^{2n}(X)$$

vanishes.

Define the (anti-)symmetric bilinear form

$$\omega : H^n(X) \times H^n(X) \to \mathbb{Z}/2$$

given by $\omega(x, y) = \langle (x \cup y), \mu \rangle$.
As $x^2 = Sq^n(x) = 0$ for all $x \in H^n(X)$, the diagonal of the ω is zero. It is furthermore non-degenerate because of Poincaré duality, and because we are working over a field. Therefore $H^n(X)$ is a symplectic vector space, and thus has even dimension by Lemma 5.1. The parity of the Euler characteristic of a Poincaré complex is determined by the parity of the dimension of the middle cohomology, hence it is even. □

References

[ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3:3–38, 1964.

[AHR] M. Ando, M. J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk. Multiplicative orientations of KO-theory and the spectrum of topological modular forms. *http://www.math.uiuc.edu/∼mando/papers/koandtmf.pdf*.

[DHH10] C. L. Douglas, A. G. Henriques, and M. A. Hill. Homological obstructions to string orientations. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 18:4074–4088, 2010.

[Luc78] E. Lucas. Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 1(4):289–321, 1878.

[May99] J. P. May. *A Concise Course in Algebraic Topology*. University of Chicago press, 1999.

[Och81] S. Ochanine. Signature modulo 16, invariants de Kervaire généralisés et nombres caractéristiques dans la K-théorie réelle. *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France*, 5:1–142, 1981.

[Ran02] A. Ranicki. *Algebraic and Geometric Surgery*. Oxford University Press, 2002.

[Rud98] Y. B. Rudyak. *On Thom spectra, Orientability, and Cobordism*. Springer-Verlag, 1998.

[SE62] N. E. Steenrod and D. B. A. Epstein. *Cohomology operations*. Princeton University Press, 1962.

[Sto63] R. E. Stong. Determination of $H^*(BO(k, ..., \infty), Z_2)$ and $H^*(BU(k, ..., \infty), Z_2)$. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 107(3):526–544, 1963.